

Evaluation Form for Graduate Women in Science Hawai‘i (GWISH) Research & Travel Grant

Please provide the following information about the proposal you are reviewing:

- a. Reviewer Name:
 - b. Applicant name:
 - c. Level at time of Application (Graduate student, Postdoc, or other):
 - d. Title of Proposed work:
-

IMPORTANT: Please provide comments on the merits and/or weaknesses of this application. These written comments are critical to applicants to help them improve future applications.

Criterion	Description	Maximum points possible	Reviewer's score and comments
1. Problem Definition-Hypothesis	<p>Is the hypothesis clearly stated and supported by the proposal and background information provided? Is the project motivation clearly stated?</p> <p><i>15 = complete and effective 7-14 = partial and/or somewhat informative 1-6 = incomplete and/or confusing 0 = ineffective/missing</i></p>	15	
2. Specific Aims:	<p>Does the applicant provide a clear and logical description of the objectives and plan of action?</p> <p><i>15 = complete and effective 7-14 = partial and/or somewhat informative 1-6 = incomplete and/or confusing 0 = ineffective/missing</i></p>	15	
3. Background and/or Previous Work:	<p>Did the proposal include knowledge of literature in the area and of prior work on the specific research problem? Additionally, if applying for travel for fieldwork or conference registration fee assistance, does the writer provide compelling evidence that this travel/participation will benefit their career?</p>	15	

	<p><i>15 = complete and effective</i> <i>7-14 = partial and/or somewhat informative</i> <i>1-6 = incomplete and/or confusing</i> <i>0 = ineffective/missing</i></p>		
Budget & Justification:	<p>Are the budget and its justification presented in sufficient detail to determine necessity? Is the budget reasonable and adequate for the work proposed?</p> <p><i>10 = Acceptable</i> <i>5-9= Acceptable, but some items may be over/under cost</i> <i>1-4 = significance may be difficult to identify; repeats existing work</i> <i>0 = Budget is non-existent, or contains other non-allowable items</i></p>	10	
GWISH Involvement:	<p>Does the applicant provide evidence of participation in GWISH chapter activities?</p> <p><i>10 = Clear and active current involvement in GWISH</i> <i>6-9= Shows some evidence of past involvement, but may not be actively involved currently.</i> <i>2-5= Demonstrates a willingness to become actively involved, and/or may have mentored women scientists or girls through other non-GWISH opportunities.</i> <i>0-1 = Question not addressed or applicant shows no involvement or willingness to be involved with GWISH</i></p>	10	
Letter of Recommendation	<p>Is there evidence that the applicant is capable of carrying out the project? Is there anything that indicates exceptional ability?</p> <p><i>10 = Highest Recommendation. Very strong letter. Application in top 5% of applications</i> <i>7-9 = Recommend. Application in top 25%.</i> <i>4-6 = Recommend with reservation, application near 50th percentile.</i> <i>1-3 = Do not recommend, potential for revision and submission next year. Weak letter. Below 50th percentile.</i> <i>0 = Application has severe deficiencies and is not competitive. Letter is unsupportive.</i></p>	10	
Total Points:		75	

Additional Comments: